

Kids on Strike Presentation Part	2	4	6	8	10
<b>Information about the Strike</b>	<p>** Very unclear who went on strike and for how long</p> <p>** No description of the working conditions, what the strikers were asking for, and the outcome of the strike</p> <p>** Not much information, and what does exist is confusing or highly vague</p> <p>** Presentation style is boring and/or disorganized</p>	<p>** Somewhat unclear information presented on who went on strike and for how long</p> <p>** Generalize, non-detailed description of the working conditions, what the strikers were asking for, and the outcome of the strike</p> <p>** Information is vague</p> <p>** Presentation style should be improved</p>	<p>** Information presented on who went on strike and for how long is partially clear, partially unclear</p> <p>** A few details in description of the working conditions, what the strikers were asking for, and the outcome of the strike</p> <p>** Information is somewhat specific and at least partially credible</p> <p>** Presentation style is OK -- adequate, though possibly a little disorganized or flat</p>	<p>** Clear information presented on who went on strike and for how long</p> <p>** Detailed description of the working conditions, what the strikers were asking for, and the outcome of the strike</p> <p>** Information is mostly specific and credible</p> <p>** Presentation style is very solid</p>	<p>** Very clear information presented on who went on strike and for how long</p> <p>** Vivid and detailed description of the working conditions, what the strikers were asking for, and the outcome of the strike</p> <p>** Information is specific and highly credible</p> <p>** Presentation style is compelling</p>
<b>Argument for the Strike</b>	<p>** Argument may not contain a claim, or the claim is incomprehensible</p> <p>** There may be no evidence, or what is called evidence has no factual or credible basis at all</p> <p>** No reasoning provided</p>	<p>** Claim does not include a reason that the strike is justified</p> <p>** Evidence for the claim may not be well aligned or include relevant facts; evidence may not be credible and is definitely not sufficient to provide support</p> <p>** Reasoning may simply paraphrase the evidence</p>	<p>** Argument contains a claim with a partially focused reason for the strike</p> <p>** Evidence for the claim is partially aligned and a portion is factual; it is somewhat credible, but not fully sufficient to provide support</p> <p>** Reasoning in the argument is attempted, but not fully successful</p>	<p>** Argument contains a claim with a focused reason for the strike</p> <p>** Evidence for the claim is aligned, factual, credible, cited, and probably sufficient to provide support</p> <p>** Reasoning in the argument is thoughtful</p>	<p>** Argument contains a claim with a focused and interesting reason for the strike</p> <p>** Evidence for the claim is very well aligned, factual, highly credible, cited, and fully sufficient to provide support</p> <p>** Reasoning in the argument is compelling and insightful</p>
<b>Rebuttal Slogan</b>	<p>** It isn't clear what argument the rebuttal slogan is addressing</p> <p>** There isn't a logic to the rebuttal slogan</p> <p>** Rebuttal slogan contains major stylistic flaws</p>	<p>** Argument that the rebuttal slogan is refuting is weak and definitely not the strongest against the strike</p> <p>** Refutation in the rebuttal slogan is logically flawed and not very convincing</p> <p>** Rebuttal slogan contains minor stylistic flaws</p>	<p>** Argument that the rebuttal slogan is refuting is reasonably strong, though there is probably a better one against the strike</p> <p>** Refutation in the rebuttal slogan is at least partially convincing</p> <p>** Rebuttal slogan makes sense</p>	<p>** Argument that the rebuttal slogan is refuting is moderately difficult and probably well chosen</p> <p>** Refutation in the rebuttal slogan is logical, sound, and convincing</p> <p>** Rebuttal slogan is interesting</p>	<p>** Argument that the rebuttal slogan is refuting is very challenging and well chosen</p> <p>** Refutation in the rebuttal slogan is very logical and convincing</p> <p>** Rebuttal slogan is clever and creative</p>